

Written Representation 7

Name: Erwin

Received: 17 Jan 2018

Title: Feedback on fake news

Su

Dear Sirs

Greetings i'm Erwin in my humble opinion , with regards to the advent of plethora of quintessential news from reliable credible news and difference shades of half truths and deliberate fake news whether through nuances connotation there will be unsubstantiated rumours gossips recycled news even spoofs parodies , on the other end With 5million populace technically speaking there are 5million personal news stories of individuals In reality the mainstream news can cover less than 50 local news Assuming the rest are posting on their own social media about their lives or other happenings minus the 50local news published in the mainstream news thats a lot of information being posted So the connotation is even if other news nugget is not published in mainstream media doesnt denote its not true but it has to do with the prerogative of the news editorial committee making the call what news gets published

At the same time theres recognition of alternative multiple perspectives opinions be it in religion, politics natural medicine vs pharma medicine vegetarianism vs meat eating lifestyle whether its a boon or bane science evolution vs creation,

An oxymoron

gallieo gallei who espoused the earth revolved around the sun and dichotomy and polemical diatribe by the catholic church that the sun revolve around the earth inquisition of galleo 400 years ago in the context galleo was proven to be correct eventually though he was branded as against religion.

history social issues etc

As the matter is convoluted and multi faceted the lenses would vary

Malicious malevolent fake news with ill intent to destabilise society or self serving profit purpose through sowing discord and distrust race and religion should be confronted and there are existing laws in place to address that. And the hoi polloi should eschew unsubstantiated sectarian divisive issues that will cause consternation and cause the public to be both livid/ mortified and petrified.

Caveat : for public education interest
to level the playing field investigative journalism expose scrutiny
dialogue asking the purveyors of allegedly fake news to produce evidence
whethee they are privy to news the public is not aware of

The raison d etre of the select committee is to seek the public condiltation on how to address the proliferation of fake news of specific genres and to target specific deliberate fake news that is insidious and inimical to society at large
that sow discord in racial and religious social fabric and money scams
that is malicious malevolent and egregious

As news is quintessential and its prolific on other news etc religion politics history science natural medicine it cannot be denied there will be multi perspectives and different opinions being presented and evidence manifested i believe

That in these genres people can based on informed decision choices adopt and adhere based on their comfort level personal experiences anecdotes

Otherwise if alternative views if its nebulous murky and not much information doesnt connote its skewed or not credible ,only that more information evidence is illuminated that it is more than kernel of truth but that it can be true

opinions perspectives are labelled fake news it can become a red herring can stifle and muzzle alternative views that may be proven more credible in future through scientific investigative journalism

Unity is not uniformity

As adults in this regard they can decide whether they have the proclivity to accept the mainstream or alternate views in respect to religion science health medicine history as due to different schools of thought and its convoluted to avoid the conundrum/quandary it best to read from both sides and compare an contrast based on informed choices

When it comes to rumours gossip mills its impossible to stop people from thinking cynic

----- Message